

**ARIZONA STATE PARKS BOARD  
ORME SCHOOL, I-17 AND ORME ROAD (EXIT 268)  
OCTOBER 18, 2007  
MINUTES**

**Board Members Present:**

William Cordasco, Chairman  
William Scalzo  
Arlan Colton  
Reese Woodling  
Tracey Westerhausen  
William Porter  
Mark Winkleman

**Staff Present:**

Jay Ream, Assistant Director, Parks  
Jay Ziemann, Assistant Director, Partnerships and External Affairs  
Mark Siegwarth, Assistant Director, Administration  
Cristie Statler, Assistant Director, Outreach  
Debi Busser, Executive Secretary  
Doris Pulsifer, Chief of Grants

**Attorney General's Office:**

Joy Hernbrode

**A. CALL TO ORDER - ROLL CALL – BOARD STATEMENT – 10:00 A.M.**

1. **Board Statement** - "As Board members we are gathered to be the stewards and the voice of Arizona State Parks' Mission Statement: Managing and Conserving Arizona's Natural, Cultural, and Recreational Resources, Both In Our Parks and Through Our Partners for the Benefit of the People."

Chairman Cordasco called the meeting to Order at 10:12 a.m. The Chairman then read the Board Statement.

**B. INTRODUCTIONS OF BOARD MEMBERS AND AGENCY STAFF**

Arizona State Parks (ASP) staff then introduced themselves. It was noted that Mr. Travous, Executive Director of ASP, was unable to attend today's meeting.

**1. Introduction of Orme School Staff**

Chairman Cordasco noted that this is a beautiful site to hold a Board meeting and that it holds precious memories for him because he graduated from the Orme School in 1980. He has extraordinary memories from here that have, in part, influenced how Babbitt Ranches now operates. He then introduced Ms. Alyce Brownridge.

Ms. Brownridge welcomed the Board to Orme School and stated they were pleased that the Board chose this site for its meeting. The school was founded in 1929 by the Orme family as a place to educate their children. It is a college prep, K-12, school. They have 10% day students in addition to the boarding students. They provide an excellent college prep education in a unique location. They focus on the outdoors (including horsemanship and sustainable resources, as well as other areas). They have prepared a

tour of the school by one of their students after the Board meeting adjourns. She then introduced Alan Kessler, Ranch Manager, who has been with them for about 26 years.

Mr. Kessler also welcomed the Board to Orme and extended Mr. Paul Orme's welcome to the ranch. The ranch consists of 43 sections, with the school in the center of it. Mr. Paul Orme is third generation and still runs the Orme Ranch.

Mr. Kessler noted that in 1929 this property was very remote. It is important for them to protect and produce from this land, which is not just setting aside, but producing on the ranch as well. They are still in the cattle business. The drought has hurt the property. They are down to running 250 cattle from running as much as 800 in the past.

Mr. Kessler added that they have a sod farm on the ranch. It is an organic farm. They also have natural beef, some of which they sell to the public.

Chairman Cordasco added that the Orme School is a role model for college prep schools internationally. The Orme Ranch has been a leader from day one on these topics of sustainability. It was an example for him long ago and influenced what Babbitt Ranches does.

Mr. Woodling asked what Mr. Kessler considers to be natural beef.

Mr. Kessler responded that they receive no additives and no hormones. Orme Ranch's beef are close to being grass-fed, but they're not there yet. That's why they don't advertise them as such.

## **2. Introduction of Game & Fish Director, Duane Shrouf**

Chairman Cordasco stated that Mr. Shrouf could not be present at today's meeting. He showed the Board and audience the gift that is to be presented to him by the Board. He noted that he and Mr. Travous would try to attend the next Game & Fish Commission meeting to present the award or invite Mr. Shrouf to the next Parks Board meeting in Phoenix.

## **C. CONSENT AGENDA**

### **1. Approve Minutes of September 20, 2007 State Parks Board Meeting**

Mr. Porter made a motion to approve the Consent Agenda. Mr. Colton seconded the motion and it carried unanimously.

## **D. BOARD ACTION ITEMS**

Mr. Porter noted there were people from Bullhead City in the audience and requested that the Board move to item D.2.

- 2. Reconsider Funding the SLIF Grant Application for Bullhead City** – Staff recommends funding the Bullhead City SLIF grant Application for the purchase of the leasehold rights by Bullhead City from the BLM, in an amount to be determined by an updated appraisal to be reviewed and approved by the BOARD. The total award amount shall not exceed \$2,120,000, the amount of the request.

## **Board Action**

Mr. Porter: I move that the FY 07 SLIF application submitted by Bullhead City for the acquisition of leasehold rights for Section 12 be approved. The amount will be determined by an updated appraisal, which will be reviewed and approved by the BOARD. The total award amount shall not exceed \$2,120,000.

Ms. Westerhausen seconded the motion.

Mayor Hakim, Bullhead City, addressed the Board. He thanked the Board and staff for the time they put into this grant application. He appreciates all the Board can do for them. He stated that Bullhead City would like to host a Parks Board meeting in the future.

Chairman Cordasco called for a vote on the Motion on the Floor. The motion carried unanimously.

- 1. Proposed FY 2008 State Park Fees** – Staff recommends that the Board allow staff to proceed with public comment meetings pertaining to the proposed Arizona State Parks fee revision as presented.

Mr. Ream reported that every year the ASP Fee Team reviews fees individually and by park. Our fees have been removed from the Rules process for about 10 years. Prior to that we went out to public hearing. Going out to the public is not required by law now, but the Board requires ASP staff to take the fees to the public. Today, staff are asking the Board's approval to just go out to the public.

Mr. Porter noted a substantial raise in Annual Pass fees. He asked what the justification is.

Mr. Ream responded that some fees were raised at the River parks. The value of the Annual Passes go down. As we raise those fees, our profitability in the permit goes down. It is to keep the value equal.

Ms. Westerhausen noted that this is an annual fee review and asked if fees go up every year.

Mr. Ream responded negatively. He stated that sometimes staff have made errors and lowered the fees. Sometimes the Board reduces fees as well (i.e., children's fees at historic parks).

Mr. Colton asked if, when going to the public, it is made clear how much the fees support the parks or is it just presented as a fee change.

Mr. Ream responded that, in the regions, staff get questions about the individual parks. There are some boilerplate presentations that show where the fees go and what the agency does with them.

Mr. Colton asked where the public meetings are held.

Mr. Ream responded that the locations are yet to be determined. However, in the past public meetings have been held at the Parks Board Room in Phoenix, at Kartchner Caverns State Park, Catalina State Park, the Water Safety Center in Lake Havasu, and Dead Horse Ranch State Park.

Mr. Ziemann added that the public can also comment through the agency's website.

Mr. Ream reported that staff will come back to the Board with the public comments received.

### **Board Action**

Mr. Porter: I move that the Arizona State Parks Board allow staff to proceed with public meetings to obtain public comment pertaining to said fee changes.

Mr. Colton seconded the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

### **3. Consider Funding Growing Smarter Grant Applications**

Ms. Pulsifer explained the Growing Smarter Grant process to the Board. She referred to page 50 of the Board Packet. She noted that \$95,225,976 is available in the Growing Smarter fund for FY 2007 due to the program being dormant for a few years. The annual appropriation to the fund is \$20 million per year with \$2 million being transferred to the Livestock and Crop Conservation Fund. The statute allows 50% of the available money in that fund to be awarded in any one county. Therefore, the cap to any one county this year is \$47,612,988.

Ms. Pulsifer reported that only two applications were received, both from within Maricopa County – City of Phoenix. She noted that the first recommendation is a Priority 1 of Phase 1 of the City of Phoenix' purchase of the Phoenix Sonoran Preserve. She stated that a 50% match is required from Phoenix.

Ms. Pulsifer added that both grant requests are very large documents that fill two large notebooks. The first is matched with 50% of the appraised value. The program will not award more than 50% of the appraised value. This project has been appraised and is scheduled for auction on November 8.

Ms. Pulsifer reported that the second is a Priority 2. It is overmatched because they are only asking for 490 acres at \$8,700,000.

Ms. Pulsifer reported that the Conservation Acquisition Board (CAB) met last Thursday and reviewed the applications. CAB voted unanimously to approve both of these two projects.

Mr. Scalzo asked who makes up the CAB.

Ms. Pulsifer responded that the members of CAB are: Ms. Maria Baiers (Chairman), Christopher McNickel (Vice Chairman), John Graham, Tabor Anderson, and Jeff Swinkle.

Mr. Ziemann added that all of the terms of the former CAB had expired except for Ms. Baiers. He had been working with the Governor's Office to reactivate the CAB

### **Board Action**

Mr. Porter: I move that the two grant projects be approved for funding at \$47,600,000 to the City of Phoenix for the purchase of the following: \$38,900,000 for the Phoenix Sonoran Preserve – Priority 1, and \$8,700,000 for the Phoenix Sonoran Preserve, Priority 2, provided the City is the highest and best bidder at public auction. The final grant amount will be based on the Arizona State Land Department's (ASLD) final appraisal value of the parcel, agreed upon by the City of Phoenix, plus no more than

10% for eligible associated costs. The grant award may not exceed \$47,600,000. Approval of the award is contingent upon the appraised land value being finalized before December 31, 2007.

Ms. Pulsifer noted that the appraisal has been ordered, but it will not be completed for 90-120 days. Staff recommends that the date be extended to April 30.

Since his motion had not yet been seconded, Mr. Porter restated his motion as follows:

Mr. Porter: I move that the two grant projects be approved for funding at \$47,600,000 to the City of Phoenix for the purchase of the following: \$38,900,000 for the Phoenix Sonoran Preserve – Priority 1, and \$8,700,000 for the Phoenix Sonoran Preserve, Priority 2, provided the City is the highest and best bidder at public auction. The final grant amount will be based on the Arizona State Land Department's (ASLD) final appraisal value of the parcel, agreed upon by the City of Phoenix, plus no more than 10% for eligible associated costs. The grant award may not exceed \$47,600,000. Approval of the award is contingent upon the appraised land value being finalized before April 20, 2008.

Mr. Scalzo seconded the motion.

Mr. Colton stated that he had a math question. He noted that this is a good project. However, he recalls that when the formula was set up for the Growing Smarter match grant, it was to be 50% for any county in any one year. To him, that means that as money accumulated in the fund, and there was a year where money was spent in Maricopa County, it would affect the total accumulation. It appears that this interpretation is that every fiscal year the money accumulates. His assumption is that after accumulating, the total of the account is already spent in Maricopa County.

Ms. Hernbrode stated that the statute says that 50% of the amount in the fund can be awarded. She is comfortable that the way it is being awarded is correctly.

Mr. Woodling noted that there are several priorities to this request. He asked where the 480 acres are located.

Mr. Chris Ewel, Acting Director, Phoenix Parks and Recreation responded that their original application included all the land as a priority. It was subdivided in Phase I. They added 160 acres to their purchase because the State Land Department did not want to leave a remnant of land. They will see whether they can come back and claim reimbursement on that acreage.

Mr. Woodling noted that next year there will be an additional \$20 million in the Growing Smarter Grant fund. He asked if that means that the fund will grow by another \$20 million and whether Maricopa County can apply again and receive half of the total money in the fund. If that's the case, it doesn't seem fair to all of the other counties. Maricopa County could continue to come in every year and ultimately receive 80%-90% of all the funds available.

Mr. Ziemann responded that staff are hearing from other counties and staff expect more applications from other counties in the next cycle. He reminded the Board that this fund requires a match. Phoenix has money set aside for the match.

Mr. Scalzo noted that it is an elaborate process to qualify for this funding. Some of the larger cities and towns have done it. He pointed out that cities are municipalities of Maricopa County – not the county itself.

Chairman Cordasco called for a vote on the Motion on the Floor. The motion carried unanimously.

Mr. Scalzo congratulated the City of Phoenix on their submission.

## **E. EXECUTIVE STAFF UPDATES**

### **1. Update on Picket Post House**

Mr. Ream reported that there have been no new negotiations since his last report. He will be taking some members of HPAC (Historic Preservation Advisory Committee) to see the property since it will be their money the Board will be using for acquisition of this property. The family has turned their negotiations over to their attorney.

Mr. Woodling asked whether the University of AZ was no longer involved in this project.

Mr. Ream noted that the Board has only set aside \$700,000 for acquisition. This Board has not actually approved this amount. Staff prefer working with a foundation or non-profit group rather than directly with the family.

### **2. Contact Point MOU/Western Law Enforcement Training Academy**

Mr. Ream reported that he sent the MOU to Lake Havasu City. They are working on their edits. He met with staff regarding the RFP that will be taken to JLBC to release the money for the land at Contact Point.

Mr. Ream further reported that the Western Law Enforcement Training Academy held its first training program beginning October 1 with 22 cadets. They are happy with the Water Safety Center and things appear to be going well. ASP staff will determine whether the agency will send its staff to this academy. Staff did a lot of maintenance on this building.

Mr. Porter noted that the Board believed Contact Point would get assistance from ADOT. He noted that this is on the ADOT board's study session.

Mr. Ream responded that he will attend the meeting tomorrow to determine whether our funds from ADOT are in danger. The Board receives \$2 million per year and could get up to \$5 million per year. Losing these funds could be a big blow to Contact Point and other projects as well.

Mr. Colton asked whether the new Board members should tour this property.

Mr. Ream responded that he would be more than happy to accompany the new Board members to the property and give them a tour. He noted that there will be another MOU for the Windsor Beach property as well.

Mr. Scalzo asked what the status is for the master plan for that area.

Mr. Ream responded that the Board had \$2 million left over from a previous grant cycle. Mr. Travous suggested the Board might use that money to advance construction

at Contact Point. Staff went to JCCR to request using that money. JCCR put stipulations to using that money.

Mr. Ziemann added that they asked, prior to an RFP going out, that they review it. He sat before JCCR for more than two hours answering questions about this study. They were looking for carrying capacity information on the lake. They were looking for environmental information and revenue projections into the future if that parcel is developed. He tried to explain that the study would answer those questions. They wanted those questions answered before approving the study.

Mr. Scalzo asked where things stand on the RFP process.

Mr. Ream responded that he met with Procurement and was told that the RFP is being written. He expects to have it by the end of November. The plan was eight months before we can get it out.

Mr. Scalzo asked who drafts the RFP.

Mr. Ream responded that staff does it.

Mr. Siegwarth added that his staff will do most of the boilerplate work on the RFP and some of Mr. Ream's staff will do other portions of it.

### **3. San Bernardino Ranch Acquisition**

Mr. Porter reported that he continues to monitor the issue. Mr. Finks is not happy that the Board did not take his offer and run with it. He was told that Mr. Finks would hang on to it until he dies. After he dies, his board will meet and probably decide to go back to ASP.

Mr. Woodling reported that the Malpai Group met last Thursday and he spoke to the owners of the Malpai Ranch next door to the San Bernardino Ranch. Mr. Finks talked to them and said that he hired a couple from Bisbee to manage the historic portion of the property. Mr. Radke met with Mr. Finks and was told that Mr. Finks will not do anything until he dies. Mr. Radke is distraught. The Malpai board does not wish to deal with Mr. Finks at this time.

Mr. Porter noted that this issue does not need to continue on the Agendas. He will continue to monitor it and report back when necessary.

Mr. Woodling added that he is in contact with Mr. Radke and will report back as necessary.

### **4. Potential Acquisitions Relating to Peck's Lake and Santa Cruz River**

Mr. Ream reported that Mr. Travous has been working with the principals on these properties. It starts above the confluence of the Santa Cruz and includes the San Cayatano Mountain Range and links up trails. Avatar Properties is willing to work with staff. They would then use ASP as a selling point for their developments. The Sonoran Institute, the Open Land Trust, and The Nature Conservancy are working to craft a deal that would benefit the Board.

Mr. Ream reported that Peck's Lake is upstream from Dead Horse Ranch State Park. It is an old Phelps Dodge recreational area still owned by Freeport. They want to divest

themselves of this property. The agency has always been interested in picking up the property.

Mr. Colton asked if a developer (Rio Rico) is looking for increased land use entitlements.

Mr. Ream responded that they are not looking for any density increases. They have their property platted to go to ASP.

Mr. Porter noted that Avatar has been a good group to work with. The work the Board has done with them along Sonoita Creek has gone well. They have gone beyond what they agreed to do in some instances.

Mr. Woodling asked if Pecks really is an oxbow lake.

Mr. Ream responded affirmatively. They channel water from the Verde River.

Mr. Woodling asked what happens to the water rights if there's not enough water in the Verde River.

Mr. Ream responded that protecting the Verde River is our goal.

Mr. Porter noted that if this state cannot save the Verde River, then there would be nothing left to conserve.

Mr. Ream reiterated that Mr. Travous is negotiating with the principals.

## **5. Budget Update**

Mr. Siegwarth reported that FY 07 was not as good as hoped. FY 08 is not looking good. He noted that the budget is based on a lower ending value. We are about 5% shy. FY 09 will be worse than 08. 2010 will be almost as good as 08. 2011 will be like 07. The Governor is looking for a 5% cut. The legislature will present their cuts in January. Staff are looking at options to reduce our spending by 5%. That is about \$400,000. Going back to 01-03 where growth was negative and we had huge cuts, he is not optimistic about seeing revenue coming in. There are problems, and the General Fund will not be a solution for us. Staff worked hard to outline the agency's problems last year. There is always the bright spot with ADOT. Essentially we are looking at reducing where we can.

Mr. Siegwarth noted that we are in a hiring freeze. Vacancy savings have been funding utilities. Staff are exploring other options to savings. He suggested talking more about this in November. He noted that we are at a point where we need to look at what is needed for park funding and how to move forward. We are losing ground.

Chairman Cordasco suggested the Board mull this information over for a while. There may be better news by the next meeting.

## **6. 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Celebrations**

Mr. Ream reported that everyone on the Board received a hiking stick today. The next 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Celebration is, "Hike the Peak," at Picacho Peak State Park on October 27<sup>th</sup>. He noted that there have been great events. Verde River Days went very well. There were Civil War re-enactments and fishing. After that was the Apple Festival,



sponsored by the ASP Foundation (ASPF) at Slide Rock State Park. This was a terrific event. Last week's event was at Oracle State Park.

Mr. Porter noted that it is apparent that our 50<sup>th</sup> Anniversary Celebrations have been successful and suggested that we should start planning something at each park every year – but not to this degree. He congratulated staff and noted this is something that brings in good publicity.

Mr. Ream responded that on the November 3<sup>rd</sup> Alamo Lake has a “Night Under the Stars”. On November 10<sup>th</sup> McFarland State Historic Park has re-enactors. The last event of the year will be a Victorian Christmas at Riordan State Historic Park on December 13<sup>th</sup>. They'll light the Christmas tree and the house is decorated for Christmas. He thanked the Board for supporting all of these events over the past year.

#### **7. Tam O'Shanter Land Exchange Bill Update**

Mr. Siegwarth reported that the stakeholders are meeting. There are issues with the bill. There may be a hearing in the beginning of November in Washington, D.C. to get these issues out on the table and look for solutions. He is waiting to see if those issues can be resolved.

Chairman Cordasco stated that this issue will be included on the November Agenda.

Chairman Cordasco called for a Recess at 11:40 a.m.

Chairman Cordasco reconvened the meeting at 11:58 a.m.

Mr. Art Smith, JLBC staff, introduced himself to the Board. He gave some background history on himself before leaving to return to Phoenix.

Mr. Siegwarth noted that this is only the second time someone from JLBC or OSPB attended a Parks Board meeting.

### **F. DISCUSSION ITEMS**

#### **1. NAPAC Presentation on Committee Activities**

No one from NAPAC was present to give their presentation to the Parks Board.

### **G. REPORT FROM ATTORNEY GENERAL' OFFICE**

#### **1. History and Update on Mabery Issue**

Mr Porter noted the outstanding effort Ms. Hernbrode put into this issue.

Ms Hernbrode responded that she could not have done it without Mr. Travous and Mr. Charles Eatherly. She added that we are not done yet. This is the case that never dies.

Ms. Hernbrode discussed the history of the case. In 1967 or 68 Mr. Chuck Mabery saw some property that struck his fancy. She pointed out the property on a map. Mr. Mabery purchased the property consisting of 28 acres. Access was not good. One access point was across the 10<sup>th</sup> Street crossing (a low water crossing). The other way to go out was Tuzigoot Road. In 1974 ASP purchased part of the Dead Horse Ranch (by the old lake shore). The agency began acquiring properties in the Verde Valley Greenway. In the mid 1980s Chuck Mabery contacted landowners to get access in the form of an easement. He eventually got an easement that said there would be no

commercial haulage. The Edwards family (from whom he received the easement) said that meant no commercial traffic ever over that road. Chuck Mabery said it meant no gravel trucks could go through. Chuck Mabery sold off portions of his property that ended up in ASP's hands. He sold 8.6 acres to ASP in 1991. The relationship between ASP and the Maberys began to go bad. The local staff got along with them just fine. The family did not like the Phoenix staff. They contended that the Phoenix staff delayed the purchase of the 8.6 acres.

Ms. Hernbrode stated that in 1993 there were floods on the Verde River. ADOT built ASP a bridge. Also in 1993 the Maberys decided ranching and farming was not economically viable. They started a chuckwagon dinner company. They sought approval to create this enterprise. ASP testified at a hearing that there were concerns about noise, dust, and the amount of traffic. Staff did not know whether or not the Maberys would serve alcohol at their enterprise. The County had concerns because their fire trucks could not make a turn to get to the property in case of a fire.

Ms. Hernbrode reported that ASP entered into an easement agreement – the replacement easement – that included all of the Mabery's rights and provided that the Maberys would pay a license fee to use this easement. The license agreement was for a five-year term to be renegotiated at the end of that term. As part of the easement exchange, ASP agreed that staff could lock the park gate at Tuzigoot. The federal government acquired the marsh property at Tuzigoot in 1999.

Ms. Hernbrode noted that the License Agreement expired in 1999. Staff thought everything was going well. The Maberys, however, refused to renew the license. Access to their business was never cut off. Staff had concerns about violating the State's Gift Clause by allowing the Maberys to cross ASP's property for free. The Board decided that the best thing to do was to get a Declaratory Judgment Action. The Maberys countered by filing a lawsuit. They alleged that the Board inversely condemned their property and interfered with their right to use Tuzigoot Road. The parties went to trial.

Ms. Hernbrode reported that, on damages, the jury awarded the Maberys \$150,000 for inverse condemnation; \$620,000 for false lien, and then trebled their damages for Tuzigoot Road. The jury also drew a line on the map where their access should be that went nowhere. The Maberys convinced the judge it actually meant something else. The total award to the Maberys was \$2.2 million.

Ms. Hernbrode noted that the Board then authorized an Appeal of this action. Oral argument was held in Phoenix. The Appeals Court reversed everything the Yavapai County Judge had done. The Maberys have appealed a few items. Staff were asked to provide answers. She believes that the Maberys will appeal to the Supreme Court of AZ. If they don't, then the Board's legal counsel will go back to the trial judge in Prescott to determine rights of easement to adjudicate those issues ASP is interested in, such as whether they have rights to the easement.

Chairman Cordasco suggested that, at the November Board meeting, the Board consider a concession of some kind to the Maberys. It would be an effort prior to going to trial again.

Ms. Hernbrode responded that is a good plan. She would want the Board's approval to any settlement offer before it is made.

Mr. Porter stated that he would like to see what the Court of Appeals does first.

Ms. Hernbrode suggested that a discussion of the Maybery issue could be discussed under Executive Session in November. She added that the Board has put its hand out to the Maberys numerous times but the Maberys have never taken it. She added that the Board is entitled to change judges if we have to go back to Yavapai County.

Mr. Woodling noted that it sounds like the Appeals Court came down hard on the judge. He asked whether the judge was reprimanded in any way.

Ms. Hernbrode responded that the judges hate to be overruled. In Yavapai County, judges are elected. The judges are rated on their skill. This judge did not do anything like property law in his practice. This was a very complicated case with complicated information placed in front of him.

Mr. Porter made a motion that the Board go into Executive Session. Mr. Woodling seconded the motion. The motion carried unanimously and the remaining public were asked to leave the room.

**H. EXECUTIVE SESSION** - Upon a public majority vote, the Board may hold an Executive Session which is not open to the public for the following purposes:

**1. To discuss or consult with its legal counsel for legal advice on matters listed on this agenda pursuant to A.R.S. §38-431.03.A.3.**

**a. Arizona State Parks Foundation's Insurance Contract**

Executive Session was called to order at 12:20 p.m.

The meeting was reconvened at 12:40 p.m.

**I. CALL TO THE PUBLIC**

There was no public present.

**J. TIME AND PLACE OF NEXT MEETING AND CALL FOR FUTURE AGENDA ITEMS**

**1. Staff recommend that the next meeting be held on November 15, 2007 at their Board Room located at 1300 W. Washington St., Phoenix, AZ.**

**2. Board members may wish to discuss issues of concern and request staff to place specific items on future Board meeting agendas.**

Chairman Cordasco noted that the Board has made requests for Agenda Items for the next Board meeting throughout this meeting to be placed on November's Agenda.

Mr. Colton stated that he has issues with meeting on the third Thursday of the month. He can be in Phoenix until 11:30 and requested that Action Items be placed near the beginning of the Agenda.

**L. ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting was adjourned at 12:45 p.m.

Arizona State Parks Board  
Minutes  
October 18, 2007

\*\*\*\*

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), Arizona State Parks does not discriminate on the basis of disability regarding admission to public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the acting ADA Coordinator, Karen Farias, (602) 364-0632; or TTY (602) 542-4174. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

**APPROVED:**

---

William C. Cordasco, Chairman

---

Kenneth E. Travous, Executive Director